UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

| United States of America | ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL |
|---|---|
| v. Alexander Neil Jones | Case No. 1:09-cr-00270-PLM |
| Defendant Defendant | Case No. 1.03-CI-00270-F LIVI |
| After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Rethat the defendant be detained pending trial. | eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require |
| Part I – F | Findings of Fact |
| | d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had |
| a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S which the prison term is 10 years or mo | S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for ore. |
| an offense for which the maximum sentence i | s death or life imprisonment. |
| an offense for which a maximum prison term | of ten years or more is prescribed in: |
| a felony committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta | een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 te or local offenses. |
| any felony that is not a crime of violence but in a minor victim | nvolves: |
| the possession or use of a firearn a failure to register under 18 U.S. | n or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon .C. § 2250 |
| (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense. | while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state |
| (3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1). | date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the |
| (4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presuperson or the community. I further find that defende | umption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe ant has not rebutted that presumption. |
| Alternati | ive Findings (A) |
| (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defenda | nt has committed an offense |
| for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et | |
| under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). | |
| (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption es defendant's appearance and the safety of the comm | tablished by finding (1) that no condition will reasonably assure the nunity. |
| | ive Findings (B) |
| (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not ap | |
| (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endan | |
| | f the Reasons for Detention |
| evidence a preponderance of the evidence that: 1. Defendant has no employment history. 2. Defendant has prior failures to appear. 3. Defendant has previously absconded from residential place. 4. Defendant has an unstable residential history. | the detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing cement and from supervision. |
| 5. Defendant has a history of substance abuse. | ns Regarding Detention |

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

| Date: | September 30, 2009 | Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody | |
|-------|--------------------|---|--|
| | | Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge | |